RELATIVITY PARAMETERS DETERMINED FROM LUNAR LA SER RANGING

JAMES G. WILLIAMS, X X NEWHALL, and JEANO. 1) ICKR% Jet l'repulsion Laboratory, California institute of Technology l'asadena, California 91109-8099, USA

ABSTRACT

Laser ranges to retroreflectors placed on the Moon by lunar space missions have been collected over the past 24 years. A comprehensive set of parameters relating to the Earth and Moon can be estimated from these data. This paper presents current lunar laser ranging results for five relativity parameters: the Principle of Equivalence, geodetic, precession, the Parametrized Post-Newtonian parameters β and γ , and a possible time rate of change of the gravitational constant G. Neither a violation of general relativity nor a change in G is found.

1. Introduction

Beginning in 1969, lunar space missions placed four corller-cube retroreflectors on the lunar surface. A "range" is the elapsed round-trip traveltime of a pulse of light between a terrestrial observatory and a lunar retroreflector. As of January, 1994, more than 8400 lunar laser ranging (1,1,1 /.) normal points have been collm.teel from three observatories, with accuracies over the past five years being 2-3 cm, Among parameters estimated are five related to relativity.

2. The Principle of Equivalence

A consequence of the Principle of Equivalence is that the gravitational mass M_G of any object is identical to its inertial mass M_I . (The Weak Equivalence Principle (WEP) holds if independent of composition; the Strong Equivalence Principle (SEP) holds if true for gravitational self-energy.) A failure of this principle would lead to the Nordtvedt effect, in which the geocentric lunar orbit is displaced in the direction of the Sun, and would exhibit a signature in the LLR data ([1], [2], [3]).

The quantity measured by LLR is $(M_G/M_I)_{\rm Earth}$ - $(M_G/M_I)_{\rm Moon}$. A correction for solar radiation pressure [4] is applied to the estimated value. With this correction,

$$\frac{M_G}{\text{"A4}_1}\Big|_{\text{Earth}} = \frac{M_G}{M_I}\Big|_{\text{Moon}} = (3 \pm 15) \times 10^{-13}$$

The dependence of the ratio M_G/M_I 011 the Strong Equivalence Principle can be expressed as M_G/M_I =1-I $\eta(U_G/Mc^2)$ ([1], [2], [3]), where η is a dimensionless parameter and U_G is the gravitational self-cllergy of the celestial body. For the Earth and Moon, $(U_G/Mc^2)_{\rm Earth}$ - $(U_G/Mc^2)_{\rm Moon}$ '- 4.45 \times 10' ¹⁰. The LLR result for η is

 η - 0.0043:1 0.0051 if WEP uncertainty is included - 0.0007:1 0.0010 if WEP is assumed

where the results of Suet al.[5] are used for the WEP.

3. Geodetic Precession

General relativity predicts that the lunar node, longitude of perigee, and mean longitude should precess at 19.2 milliarcseconds/ y_{ear} . This rate P_g is implicit in the equations of motion used to model the Moon and planets. The LLR result is

$$\frac{\Delta P_g}{-I'} = \mathbf{0.3\%} \pm \mathbf{0.7\%}$$

4. Parametrized Post-Newtonian β and γ

Two Parametrized Post-Newtonian (1'1'N) parameters can be estimated from LLR data: β , measuring non-linearity of superposition, and γ , in easuring space curvature produced by unit mass. Both β and 7 appear in the equations of motion; 7 also appears in the light-time equation. Using only these formulations,

$$|\beta - 1| < 0.005$$
, $|\gamma - 1| < 0.005$, $|\beta + \gamma - 2| < 0.003$

Nordtvedt ([1], [2], [3]) showed that $\beta: (\eta - |\gamma - |3)/4$. Using η from the Equivalence Principle above and $|\gamma - 1| \le 0.002$ from interplanetary ranging [6],

$$|\beta$$
 - 1 | <0.0014 if WEP uncertainty is included < 0.0006 if WEP is assumed

5. Rate of Change of the Gravitation Constant

The present LLR data span gives sensitivity to any time rate of change in the gravitation constant G, primarily through the solar perturbation on the lunar orbit. The change in G is expressed as \dot{G}/G . The result from LLR is

$$\frac{\dot{G}}{G} = (0.1 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-11} / \text{year}$$

6. summary

No significant change from general relativity is found, and no change in G is evident. A more extensive discussion of this work is in [7].

Acknowledgement

We wish to acknowledge and thank the staffs of CERGA, Haleakala, the University of Texas McDonald Observatory, and the Lunar Laser Ranging Associates. The research described in this paper was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory of the California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Spat.c Administration.

References

- 1. Nordtvedt, K., Jr., Phys Rev. 169 (1968) 1014.
- 2. Nordtvedt, K., Jr., Phys Rev. 169 (1968) 1017.
- 3. Nordtvedt, K., Jr., Phys Rev. 170 (1968) 1186.
- 4. Nordtvedt, K., Jr., submitted to Icarus (1994).
- 5. Su et al., submitted to Phys Rev. (1994).
- 6. Reasenberg, R. 1). et al., Astrophys. J. Lett. 234 (1 979) 1,219.
- 7. Williams, J. G. et al., submitted to Phys. Rev. D (1994).